

1 [Counsel Listed on Signature Page]
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

12 In re JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION
13 SECURITIES LITIGATION
14

This Document Relates to: All Actions

Master File No. C-02-1486 CW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING EXPERT
DISCOVERY**

1 WHEREAS, the parties intend to exchange expert reports prior to trial and to conduct
2 expert discovery,

3 WHEREAS, the parties wish to agree in advance to certain limitations on expert discovery
4 to avoid the unnecessary expenditure of resources,

5 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties, through their counsel of
6 record, as follows:

7 1. This stipulation concerns discovery related to testifying experts. The parties agree
8 that there shall be no disclosures with respect to consulting experts.

9 2. The parties shall not be required to preserve or produce draft expert reports or any
10 notes or communications related thereto, including draft studies and draft work papers, unless the
11 expert is relying upon facts or data contained in any such documents in forming an opinion in this
12 matter and those facts or data are not otherwise produced.

13 3. The parties shall not be required to preserve or produce, nor shall the expert be
14 required to testify about, any correspondence or communications between any expert and the
15 attorneys for the party offering the testimony of that expert, unless the expert is relying on facts or
16 data contained in such correspondence or communications in forming an opinion in this matter
17 and those facts or data are not otherwise produced.

18 4. The parties shall not be required to preserve or produce any correspondence or
19 communications among experts retained by the same party, including testifying and consulting
20 experts, unless the expert is relying on facts or data contained in such correspondence or
21 communications in forming an opinion in this matter and those facts or data are not otherwise
22 produced.

23 5. To the extent that a party's expert witness report cites, or otherwise relies on,
24 electronic documents, that party shall produce those documents in electronic form.

25 6. The parties are not required to serve subpoenas for deposition on any expert.
26 Instead, the party retaining the expert shall make the expert available for deposition, at the
27 mutually agreed upon time and place.

7. Except as provided herein, the parties shall make all other expert disclosures required by the Federal Rules. Any supplemental production must be completed at least one week prior to the expert's deposition.

Dated: October 16, 2006

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Philip T. Besirof
Philip T. Besirof

Atorneys for Defendants
JDS Uniphase Corporation, Jozef
Straus, Anthony R. Muller, and Charles
J. Abbe

Dated: October 16, 2006

HELLER EHRLMAN LLP

By: /s/ Howard S. Caro
Howard S. Caro

Attorneys for Defendant
Kevin Kalkhoven

Dated: October 16, 2006

LABATON SUCHAROW & RUDOFF LLP

**BERMAN DEVALERIO PEASE TABACCO
BURT & PUCILLO**

By: /s/ Mark S. Arisohn
Mark S. Arisohn

Liaison Counsel for Lead Plaintiff
Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust
Funds

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2006

A photograph of a handwritten signature "Elizabeth D. Laporte" in blue ink, placed over a rectangular red stamp. The stamp contains the text "SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA" around its border, and "JUDGE" at the bottom right. Below the stamp, the name "Elizabeth D. Laporte" is printed in a smaller font. The entire signature and stamp are set against a white background.